

friends, their state and their country than the freedom that they ask for and they want. The question has been raised whether the Winnebago Tribe is prepared to accept the responsibility that comes with retrocession. In all candor, that is not for you and I to judge. Those may be hard words, but when people yearn to be free, the best antidote is freedom and people who are free are then free to fail, free to fail, free to stumble but they are free to succeed beyond all belief, and that is essential again to the human condition. Freedom means struggle. Freedom means failure. Freedom means bitterness, but freedom means liberation, it means relief, it means aspiration, it means great accomplishment. This is not...it's not as though the Winnebago Tribe is being unchained, it is simply that the Winnebago Tribe will have the ability to conduct their own affairs in their own tribal court system and to control the destiny and lives that touch theirs on the reservation. Many other states have followed suit with retrocession. We, ourselves, paved the way in 1970 with respect to the Omaha Tribe. There is no reason why we shouldn't follow suit this legislative year. For that reason, I rise to support the removal from the Judiciary Committee of LR 57.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Hoagland is next. But may I introduce some guests of Senator Nelson under the south balcony, Mr. and Mrs. Don Frasier. Would you folks please stand. Thank you. Senator Hoagland.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Mr. Speaker and colleagues, I rise to oppose drawing this resolution out of the Judiciary Committee and in any event oppose considering the resolution on the merits today in the event it is taken out of the Judiciary Committee if that indeed is Senator Pappas's intent. Now by way of introduction let me indicate to the body that this resolution came to the Judiciary Committee with the following opposition. It came to the committee opposed by the local County Attorney. It came to the committee with a resolution passed on a 5 to 2 vote by the Thurston County Board opposing the resolution. It came to the Judiciary Committee opposed by the State Senator from that district and it left the Judiciary Committee killed on a 5 to 0 to 1 vote, the 1 being an abstention. Now it is true that Senator Chambers was out of town when the Judiciary Committee decided to take it up even though it was not on the agenda. The Judiciary Committee decided to do that in part after it was clear that there was going to be